I8S3FEAC UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 17 CR 377 (RJS) v. 5 DARNELL FEAGINS, 6 Defendant. -----x 7 8 New York, N.Y. August 28, 2018 2:30 p.m. 9 10 Before: 11 HON. RICHARD J. SULLIVAN, 12 District Judge 13 APPEARANCES 14 GEOFFREY S. BERMAN 15 United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 16 CECILIA VOGEL 17 Assistant United States Attorney B. ALAN SEIDLER 18 Attorney for Defendant 19 ALSO PRESENT: U.S. Probation Officer Hillel Greene 20 21 22 23 24 25

25

THE COURT: Good afternoon. Let me take appearances. 1 2 For the government? 3 Good afternoon, your Honor. Cecelia Vogel MS. VOGEL: 4 for the government. With me at counsel's table is Probation 5 Officer Hillel Greene. 6 THE COURT: Ms. Vogel, Mr. Greene, good afternoon. 7 And for the supervisee? 8 MR. SEIDLER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Alan 9 Seidler for Mr. Feagins. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Seidler. And Mr. Feagins, good 11 afternoon to you. 12 THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon. 13 THE COURT: So, all right. We were I think scheduled 14 to have a hearing, but I received a letter from the parties indicating that Mr. Feagins is prepared to admit to certain of 15 the specifications, Specifications 19 through 22. And I guess 16 the others would then be dismissed and we'd have a sentencing 17 at some other point I think is the contemplation. 18 MS. VOGEL: That's correct. 19 20 MR. SEIDLER: Yes, sir. 21 THE COURT: Before we do that, I want to make sure I 22 know what's going on in the state case. So there were state 23 charges pending, right? 24 MS. VOGEL: So, there was a state parole violation

proceeding which resolved before Mr. Feagins was brought here.

1	THE COURT: There is nothing pending in the state.
2	MS. VOGEL: That's correct.
3	THE COURT: Okay. So Mr. Feagins, before I accept
4	your admission here, I want to make sure you understand what
5	we're doing and that you are in the right frame of mind to do
6	this.
7	As I understand it, you wish to admit to
8	Specifications 19 through 22; is that right?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10	THE COURT: So, how are you feeling today? Are you
11	feeling good?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Your mind is clear?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
15	THE COURT: Have you taken any medicine or pills or
16	drugs or alcohol of any kind in the last two days?
17	THE DEFENDANT: No.
18	THE COURT: Do you understand the nature of this
19	proceeding and what's going to take place here today?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: Last time you were here I think it was
22	the last time, or the time before, we talked about the
23	specifications, there were 22 specifications. So there are a
24	lot of them. As I understand it, you wish to admit to
25	Specifications 19 through 22; is that correct?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Seidler, do you have any doubt as to
3	Mr. Feagins' mental competence to proceed?
4	MR. SEIDLER: No, sir. Not at all.
5	THE COURT: Ms. Vogel, do you have any such doubts?
6	MS. VOGEL: No.
7	THE COURT: Neither do I.
8	Mr. Feagins, do you have any questions about anything?
9	THE DEFENDANT: No.
10	THE COURT: So, all right. Let me just remind you
11	what the specifications are, and then you should tell me
12	whether you admit or deny them.
13	Specification 19 alleges that on or about April 22 of
14	this year, here in Manhattan, you committed a state crime of
15	harassment in the second degree in violation of New York State
16	Penal Law Section 240.26, which is a misdemeanor, in that you
17	repeatedly sent messages to harass and annoy a person
18	identified as the victim's wife who was previously identified.
19	Do you understand that's what you're accused of?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: Do you admit or deny that?
22	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23	THE COURT: You admit it?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I admit it.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Second specification that we are

talking about today is Specification 20. That says on the same date, that you committed a state crime -- no, actually the day before. April 21, 2018, unlawful surveillance in the second degree which is a violation of New York State's Penal Law 250.45 sub 5, that's a class E felony, in that you released video that the victim had engaged in sexual conduct without her knowledge. Or the releasee videoed the victim engaged in sexual conduct without her knowledge.

You took a video of somebody engaged in sexual conduct without their permission or knowledge. That's what you are accused of. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you admit or deny that?

THE DEFENDANT: I admit it.

MS. VOGEL: Your Honor, if I could, the date on that, April 21, that is an estimate and based on our information.

THE COURT: "On or about" is what it says.

MS. VOGEL: It may have been a month or two prior that the video was actually made.

THE COURT: Okay. The next one is on or about

April 21 in New York County, you committed a state crime,

dissemination of an unlawful surveillance image in violation of
a different Penal Law, 250.55, that's a misdemeanor. In that
you intentionally disseminated a video that you had

surreptitiously made of the victim engaged in sexual conduct or

sexual act. That's basically that you disseminated the video you had previously taken.

Do you admit or deny that specification?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. I admit it.

THE COURT: And the last one, Specification 22, charges that on or about April 21 of this year, in New York, you committed a local crime, unlawful disclosure of an intimate image, in violation of a New York City provision, Section 10-177, also a misdemeanor, in that you e-mailed a video of the victim without her consent in which she was engaging in a sexual act.

So do you admit or deny that?

THE DEFENDANT: I admit.

THE COURT: So they're all kind of a piece. That's what you've been accused of, and you admit that you did those things.

What Ms. Vogel is saying, maybe it wasn't April, it may have been earlier than that. I don't know that the dates matter that much. They're mostly designed just to put you on notice of what you've been accused of.

Do you have any question as to what events are described in these specifications?

THE DEFENDANT: No. No, sir.

THE COURT: You understand what the reference is to?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

(212) 805-0300

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: You admit each of them? 1 THE DEFENDANT: 2 Yes. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Is that a satisfactory allocution 4 or admission with respect to Specifications 19 through 22, 5 Ms. Vogel? 6 MS. VOGEL: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Greene, do you think so? Did we cover what he needs to cover? 8 9 MR. GREENE: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Seidler? 11 MR. SEIDLER: Yes, sir. 12 THE COURT: So, I guess then what we ought to be 13 talking about is sentencing. 14 So, these are serious, serious violations. More 15 serious than somebody testing positive for marijuana, for

So, these are serious, serious violations. More serious than somebody testing positive for marijuana, for example, which is one I see with some frequency. So this is not typical. It's serious. And the other conduct that's described, which are in some ways covered in specifications that Mr. Feagins has not admitted to but they give some context here, which is also troubling.

There are victims. I think at least one, arguably more victims, and they would have a right to be here as well for future proceedings. So, what I intend to do is set a date for sentencing, a date for submissions to be made, and I'll direct the government to make sure that the victims are aware

of their rights under the Victim Rights Act. I think it covers here. This is a violation of supervised release, it's not a sentencing in an indicted case, but I think it could be argued they're covered by the statute.

Anyway, the government should look at that. If you think they are not covered, let me know that because I think that's something I would want to know.

So how much time do you think we need for sentencing?

To prepare for sentencing? And what sort of submissions do you have any mind? What do you think?

MS. VOGEL: I somewhat defer to defense counsel in terms of how much time he thinks he needs to submit his materials.

THE COURT: I would set a sentencing date, have the defense submit their submissions two weeks before, the government one week before. And then probation I guess would get in touch with me around the same time. Probation works for me. So I don't really need to order them to give me a submission. But the parties would, and I'd direct them to make submissions by a date certain.

So Mr. Seidler, what do you think would be the proper amount of time for all of that?

MR. SEIDLER: I'm going to be away the week of October 7 so could we do it some time after that?

THE COURT: After October 2 you said?

1	MR. SEIDLER: I'll be back on the 15th, so any time
2	after that is fine.
3	THE COURT: After the 15th.
4	MR. SEIDLER: Yes, sir.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MS. VOGEL: Your Honor, I am starting a trial the week
7	of the 15th that I estimate to last approximately a week.
8	THE COURT: Are you sitting on Fridays?
9	MS. VOGEL: Actually, I'm not sure. I have not yet
10	appeared in that case. But I have been assigned to it.
11	THE COURT: So you expect it will be over by the 19th?
12	Your trial?
13	MS. VOGEL: Yes.
14	THE COURT: So if we did this October 26. How about
15	the afternoon of Wednesday, October 24. Would that work?
16	MR. SEIDLER: Yes, sir.
17	THE COURT: 4 o'clock.
18	MS. VOGEL: Yes, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: 4 o'clock, Wednesday, October 24. And
20	then I guess I would like submissions from Mr. Seidler by
21	when are you going away?
22	MR. SEIDLER: The 8th. I'll get it done before then.
23	THE COURT: And the government will want to get stuff
24	beforehand too, since you've got a trial, right?
25	MS. VOGEL: Yes, thank you.

THE COURT: So the 8th is a Sunday I think, right, a Monday. You want to get your submission in by the 5th?

MR. SEIDLER: Sure.

THE COURT: I'll ask the government to get their submission in by the 12th. And then if I need more, I think I have questions, I can then issue an order after that. But otherwise, we'll then come forward on the 24th which is 12 days later and then we'll have sentencing at that time. Okay?

If the government believes that there are no victims under the Victim Rights Act and so therefore you're not telling the people who are identified in Specifications 19 through 22 of this, tell me that, because I'll want to hear why that would be the case. If you do think they're covered, then let me know that you've notified them and if they plan to come and be heard. If they are coming or you're not sure if they are coming, just let me know. All right?

MS. VOGEL: I can say at the moment our position is they are covered, and so far the one victim has been notified of the proceedings.

THE COURT: Victims don't have to come. But they have a right to come, Mr. Feagins. That's why I'm talking about this. Under the Victim Rights Act, a person who is designated as a victim does have a right to be heard at court proceedings, and so I want to make sure they have notice if they wish to be heard. They don't have to come. Sometimes they don't want to

be heard. If they do, we've got to make sure they have been notified. So that should give them enough time.

If you indicate in your letter, Ms. Vogel, then I'll know that they've been notified or you're taking the position they don't need to be, and we'll follow up if we have to.

Mr. Greene, is there anything else we should be doing in connection with sentencing? Any information that would be worth the Court having?

MR. GREENE: I think everything has been covered in the original report, your Honor.

THE COURT: So in the meantime, Mr. Feagins is to remain in custody. That's the plan. Yes?

So Mr. Feagins, you will get credit for this time towards whatever sentence I impose. There's no other charges pending, so I guess you will get credit for this. But if for whatever reason you think you need to see me between now and October 24, let me know. That's not a problem. Tell Mr. Seidler and we can set something up. Okay?

Anything else we should cover today?

MS. VOGEL: No, your Honor.

MR. SEIDLER: Nothing.

THE COURT: Let me thank the marshals. Let me thank the court reporter. Good luck to you all and I'll see you in October.

(Adjourned)